

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 28349/37268 9029 09/827,641 04/06/2001 Charles E. Jagger **EXAMINER** 7590 4743 09/27/2006 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP FERRIS, DERRICK W 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SEARS TOWER** CHICAGO, IL 60606 2616

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/827,641	JAGGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derrick W. Ferris	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet will	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months af earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reunication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL . 2 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal matte	·•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-16 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 Applicant may not request that any objected	e withdrawn from consideration. tion and/or election requirement. Examiner. is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	a, and anadiou	Construction of form 1 TO 102.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in Apof the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 09/827,641 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-10 along with newly added claims 17-20 with traverse in the reply filed on 11/7/2005 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: please update the cross reference data on page 1 of the specification since U.S. patent application 09/301,477 as issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,807,405.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,035,213 A to *Tokuda et al.* ("*Tokuda*").

As to claim 1, scanning at least some of the narrowband channels to determine signal strengths in at least some of the narrowband channels is taught by the disturbing signal detecting circuit 106. Determining a threshold based on the signal strengths in at least some of the narrowband channels and identifying narrowband channels having signal strengths exceeding the threshold is taught as exceeding a reference level, see e.g.,

Application/Control Number: 09/827,641 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

middle of column 3. Assigning filters to at least some of the narrowband channels having signal strengths exceeding the threshold is taught by the variable notch filter. Finally, determining if the assigned filters are operating properly and bypassing any of the assigned filters that are not operating properly is taught by frequency detecting circuit 107 used to control the notch filter by ON-OFF control, see e.g., columns 9-10.

As to claim 2, see e.g., figure 8 where the output of the filter is fed into the disturbing signal detecting circuit.

As to **claim 3**, the disturbing signal detection circuit detects interference, see e.g., top of column 6 with respect to disturbing signals.

As to claim 4, the known interference value is the threshold, see e.g., middle of column 3.

As to **claim 5**, the filter is bypassed based on the ON-OF capability, see e.g., middle of column 9.

As to claim 6, see similar rejection to claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,035,213 A to *Tokuda et al.* ("Tokuda") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,426,983 B1 to Rakib et al. ("Rakib").

Application/Control Number: 09/827,641 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

As such to claim 7, Tokuda discloses limitations in the parent claim.

Tokuda is silent or deficient to the further limitation of an A/D converter. In particular, Tokuda does not further disclose the components of the receiver 102, see e.g., middle of column 7.

Rakib teaches the further recited limitation above at e.g., middle of column 4.

The proposed modification of the above-applied reference(s) necessary to arrive at the claimed subject matter would be to modify *Tokuda* by further clarifying that it is well known in the art to use an A/D converter in a radio receiver.

As such, examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art prior to applicant's invention to include the above limitation. In particular, the motivation for modifying the reference or to combine the reference teachings would be to perform signal processing on the received signal by sampling the signal for a predetermined time period. In particular, *Rakib* cures the above-cited deficiency by providing a motivation found at e.g., middle of column 4.

As to claim 8, see similar rejection to claim 3.

As to claim 9, see similar rejection to claim 4.

As to claim 10, see similar rejection to claim 5.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick W. Ferris whose telephone number is (571) 272-3123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 A.M. - 4:30 P.M. E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571)272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Derrick W. Ferris

Examiner Art Unit 2616

> DERRICK W. FERRIS PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5